BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



9500 GILMAN DRIVE LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093-0348

## Student IA Evaluation for Anshul Yatin Shah Department of Computer Science and Engineering

CSE 11 - Accel. Intro to Programming (Soosai Raj, Adalbert Geral) Fall 2023

> Number of Evaluations Submitted: 47 Number of Students Enrolled: 385

1. The Instructional Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

36 (78.3%): Strongly Agree

9 (19.6%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

1 (2.2%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

2. The Instructional Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

36 (78.3%): Strongly Agree

10 (21.7%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response] 3. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

35 (76.1%): Strongly Agree

11 (23.9%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

4. The Instructional Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

30 (65.2%): Strongly Agree

13 (28.3%): Agree

2 (4.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

1 (2.2%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

5. Feedback from the Instructional Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

26 (56.5%): Strongly Agree

12 (26.1%): Agree

4 (8.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 4 (8.7%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

6. The Instructional Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

31 (67.4%): Strongly Agree

13 (28.3%): Agree

2 (4.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response] 7. The Instructional Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

28 (62.2%): Strongly Agree

16 (35.6%): Agree

1 (2.2%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 2: [No Response]

8. The Instructional Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

34 (75.6%): Strongly Agree

11 (24.4%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 2: [No Response]

9. The Instructional Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

22 (46.8%): Strongly Agree

12 (25.5%): Agree

3 (6.4%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 10 (21.3%): Not Applicable

10. The Instructional Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

31 (67.4%): Strongly Agree

13 (28.3%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 2 (4.3%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

## 11. In terms of communication skills, did the Instructional Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

39 (100.0%): No issues 0 (0.0%): Too quiet 0 (0.0%): Too loud 0 (0.0%): Too fast 0 (0.0%): Too slow

0 (0.0%): Poor grammar and/or English language skills

0 (0.0%): Used filler words such as "um"

0 (0.0%): Other (please describe)

12. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

38 (80.9%): Strongly Agree

9 (19.1%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Instructional Assistant.

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Anshul answered questions with patience and thoroughness, which made me more comfortable asking questions.
- Anshul is super confident in front of the class and runs everything very smoothly.
- Anshul really shines when it comes to teaching, having him teach in class discussions was great
  and he was able to reiterate explanations for solutions or how a certain program works to the
  point that the class could understand. He was also active enough to keep people engaged during
  discussions with his enthusiasm.
- Anshul was great at answering questions and making students feel validated (that their questions were important). He gave us the opportunities to participate and lead discussions well.
- Anshul was very enthusiastic about the material and always found a way to break things down in simple terms or provided analogies to help me better understand topics.
- Being so descriptive when teaching a topic and explaining how to do things.
- Excelled teaching to students during discussion. Helped clarify issues or problems we may face when coding, while providing tips to help us coders in the future.
- Explanations were clear yet still left room for you to think
- Great teaching style and explanation.
- He has a very confident and happy attitude that makes me want to listen to him.
- He explains topics and assignments clearly, talks fluently and confidently.

- He is clearly very passionate and happy to be here which is always nice to see from a TA!
- He is very approachable with any issues I have. He also has very deep understanding of the subject, so is able to guide me very well
- His ability to explain course contents.
- His explanations were clear and concise.
- I always felt actively engaged while listening to TA Anshul. He provided explanations that weren't complicated to grasp and was supportive of the learning experiences of the students, which was a great strength of his.
- in-depth, articulated, easy to follow along explanations
- Leads students to the right answers but not giving it to them. Also puts a lot of stuff on edstem.
- The discussions he led were great and he explained the topics well.
- Their live coding was easy to follow, as they explained every step very well.
- This instructional assistant clearly has passion in the subject of the class as well as teaching, and is purposeful in including each student in activities.
- · Thorough explanations for Discussion Coding Challenges
- Very clear and concise explanations of the material and content
- very clear in explanations
- Very enthusiastic about the course content and had clear explanations.
- 14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Instructional Assistant. Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community
- ×
- Can't think of anything. In my opinion, he did a good job as an instructional assistant.
- I can't really describe any weaknesses he's had as an instructional assistant, it was more based on the lesson plans itself.
- I can't think of any weaknesses, and I think he did a great job as an instructional assistant since I learned a lot during discussions.
- I dont know oops
- If nobody raised their hand when he asked for participation, he dealt with it a bit awkwardly.
- It was hard for him to engage some of the students.
- Overall a good person, sometimes goes a little fast though
- overexplaining the material

- Solely suggested ChatGPT to learn CSE which may not be wrong but some may wish for other resources to learn.
- Sometimes, he is unable to keep the class's attention

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- amazing
- Anshul could definitely be a professor one day, hes already super professional and I enjoyed having him as a TA.
- Anshul was a positive and engaging individual that made the discussion a great time that went by so fast.
- Anshul was very cool during my code review, thanks!
- Anshul would make an amazing teacher if he did it outside of discussions, and it was a pleasure to have him as my TA for my discussion section!
- He was great:)
- No I do not.

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. Click here to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email <a href="mailto:engagedteaching@ucsd.edu">engagedteaching@ucsd.edu</a>.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.